Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,698	DANIELS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jes F. Pascua	3727	

All Participants:

(1) *Jes F. Pascua*.

(2) *David A. Belasco, applicant's representative*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *24 August 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 USC 101 as claiming the same invention as claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,773,162.

Claims discussed:

Claims 1-5

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The difference between the limitations of the present application and U.S. Patent No. 6,773,162. The present application requires the plastic strip disposed within the bag gusset. The patent requires the plastic strip to be located along one side of the gusset.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jes F. Pascua

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)